

Reading Advantage

Research Base and Program Effectiveness



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Reading Advantage Program Overview	3
Reading Advantage Research Base	4
Reading Advantage Program Effectiveness	11
Large New England City Effectiveness Study.....	11
Cincinnati City School District Effectiveness Study.....	15
Jefferson Middle School Pilot Study	18
References	20

READING ADVANTAGE PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Colorful, high-interest theme magazines and complete teaching support open a new world of reading to at-risk readers.

The advantages that lead to reading success!

- **Engaging theme magazines** to engage, inform, and inspire
- **Essential comprehension skills** to create understanding
- **Key reading strategies** to engage successfully with text
- Word study skills to **build vocabulary**
- **Phonics skills** to improve decoding capabilities
- A variety of **nonfiction to build experience and fluency**

Kit Resources:

Each *Reading Advantage* kit (Levels A-D) provides all the reading materials and teaching support required to help teachers address the needs of their most struggling readers:

- **24 theme magazine** (6 each of four theme magazines)
- **Teacher's Edition**
- **Student Journal**
- **Word Study Manual**
- *Meeting the Needs of All Students: A Guide to Flexible Grouping* by Laura Robb
- **Placement Book and Assessment Books**
- **12 paperback books**
- **eZines CD-ROM**

READING ADVANTAGE RESEARCH BASE

Introduction

The No Child Left Behind Act has placed a national spotlight on the critical issue of reading proficiency. Educators across the nation face the challenge of helping their students read at or above grade level by the end of the third grade; however, many students continue to struggle with reading through high school. In fact, according to the National Center for Education Statistics (2003) only 33% of eighth graders and 36% of twelfth graders are reading at or above the proficient level. Teachers want to help these students improve their reading and writing ability; however, the task is daunting because of a lack of appropriate instructional materials to address the specific issues with which these students struggle.

Reading Advantage, designed by Laura Robb with a team of nationally known university educators and master classroom teachers, can help this adolescent population improve their reading and writing skills. The four kits address the needs of at-risk adolescents who are reading between a second and sixth grade reading level. The program focuses on critical areas where students need the most support: comprehension, word study and phonics, vocabulary and fluency building, and assessment, and includes enough reading materials to support each student's progress.

Motivation

What Research Says:

Students who struggle with reading often feel that it is something they just can't do; therefore, they avoid doing it, further perpetuating their difficulties with reading (O'Brien & Dillon, 2002; Rubin & Patterson, 2002). Students who believe that reading success is something over which they have control are more motivated. Students who read two or more years below grade level are routinely given texts to read that are far too difficult for them, resulting in feelings of low self-esteem. When students are given engaging reading material, at their appropriate instructional level, and taught to apply reading strategies that help them gain control of text, they are more likely to feel successful and become motivated to read.

Opportunity to read and choice in what students read is also critical for motivated, independent reading (Fuhler, 2003; Ivey & Broaddus, 2001; Turner & Paris, 1995; Worthy, 1998, 2000). Students' growth in reading ability is enhanced when they have access to books they can actually read (Allington, 2001); that is, materials at their independent or instructional reading levels (Betts, 1946). Therefore, providing reading materials accessible to struggling adolescent readers is critical, as is accommodating their varying reading tastes and interests (Hill, 1998; Manning & Manning, 1979; Moore, Bean, Birdyshaw, & Rycik, 1999; Moore, Alvermann, & Hinchman, 2000; Worthy, Moorman, & Turner, 1999).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

To address students' need for engaging text, *Reading Advantage* provides students with text at their instructional level and instruction in strategies that will help them become successful readers. Because extra reading is one of the keys to progress, *Reading Advantage* includes paperback books for independent reading at each level. In addition, book lists accompany each magazine. The *eZine* articles on CD-ROM build on and extend the themes and concepts of the magazines. Students have opportunities to read materials that are at their instructional level, that are engaging, and that look appropriate for middle and high school students.

Strategic Reading

What Research Says:

Successful readers use a variety of strategies to understand text (Pearson, Roehler, Dole, & Duffy, 1992; National Reading Panel, 2000; U.S. Department of Education, 2001). Struggling readers either lack a knowledge of or ability to use these strategies, not knowing when or how to apply them (Vacca & Vacca,

2002). These students are not thinking about their own reading strategies, nor are they metacognitively aware of the strategies they can use to help them comprehend text (Bennett, 2003; Keene & Zimmerman, 1997). When struggling readers are taught to read strategically, their comprehension improves (e.g., National Reading Panel, 2000; Pressley, 2000).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage includes extensive instruction in comprehension strategies to give students the tools and strategies they need to improve their comprehension. *Reading Advantage* teaches these strategies using an important three-part teaching sequence. First the teacher begins by explaining what each strategy is to the students and how to utilize each one. Then the teacher models the strategies for the students by doing a “Think Aloud” that further demonstrates the strategy. Modeling strategies is an important and successful method for teaching comprehension (Davey, 1983; Fuhler, 2003; Hill, 1998; Wallis, 1998). Finally, *Reading Advantage* provides many opportunities for students to practice the strategies and moves students towards independently utilizing each strategy. Strategy instruction is included in every lesson, with extra support provided for use with students as necessary. Model strategy lessons that can be used any time allow teachers to teach in response to students’ needs.

The key comprehension strategies in *Reading Advantage* include: monitoring understanding, understanding text structure, engaging with a text, summarizing, and reading critically. The more strategies students have for comprehending a text the better they will be able to understand and remember a text (Pressley, Johnson, Symons, McGoldrick, & Kurita, 1989; Wallis, 1998).

Monitoring Understanding

What Research Says:

Good readers monitor their understanding to determine if comprehension is occurring. Students can be taught to monitor their own understanding through explicit instruction (Collins & Collins, 2001; Pressley et al., 1989; Zimmerman & Hutchins, 2003). They should also be able to take corrective action or engage in a “fix-up” strategy if they see a breakdown in comprehension (Baker & Brown, 1984; Keene & Zimmerman, 1997; Paris, Wasik, & Turner, 1991).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage provides ways for students to become more aware of their own comprehension. The lessons include discussions for monitoring understanding and different “fix-up” strategies students can use such as rereading, visualizing, and looking for context clues.

Summarizing

What Research Says:

Summarizing involves preparing an oral or written statement that includes the main points of a longer piece of text. It is an important strategy to help students retain the information they read. As students progress through middle and high school they are expected to learn much of their information from texts. Summarization is a challenging skill for students, and they need instruction in how to summarize (Brown & Day, 1983; Duke & Pearson, 2002; Feathers, 1998; Hahn & Garner, 1984; Hare & Bocharadt, 1984; National Reading Panel, 2000; Pressley et al., 1989).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Students are given continual practice with summarizing both stories and informational texts in *Reading Advantage*. The initial lessons begin as guided practice with teacher support and as students progress through the program they are given opportunities to become more independent with this skill.

Understanding Text Structure

What Research Says:

Text structure is the organization an author uses to write a selection. Research has shown that students can be taught text structures and that instruction in text structure improves comprehension (Armbruster, Anderson, & Ostertag, 1987; Fitzgerald & Spiegel, 1983; Gordon, 1989; McGee & Richgels, 1988). The ability to understand various text structures is especially important for middle and high school students because they are expected to read and learn from informational texts in their content area classes (Feathers, 1998) and take standardized tests where they are expected to comprehend informational texts (Carter & Abrahamson, 1998).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage gives students experiences in working with various text structures and teaches students to use graphic organizers to represent text structures, which improve students' understandings (Duke & Pearson, 2002; Griffin & Tulbert, 1995).

Activating Prior knowledge, Questioning, and Making Connections

What Research Says:

When students learn to be actively engaged with text this means that they activate their prior knowledge (Anderson & Pearson, 1984), predict (Hansen & Pearson, 1983), ask questions (Rosenshine, Meister, & Chapman, 1996), and make connections (Langer, 1995) while reading. Students should activate their prior knowledge of a topic before reading so they are better prepared to add new knowledge to their understanding (Feathers, 1998; Fuhler, 2003). Predicting, or making informed guesses, is another method for staying engaged with a text because it requires a reader to look for clues in a text to form a good prediction. Asking questions while reading is another way for students to improve their understanding by clarifying ideas (Keene & Zimmerman, 1997; Zimmerman & Hutchins, 2003). It is important to encourage students to ask questions that lead to higher level thinking such as synthesizing and evaluating (Van Gorder, 2003). Making connections with a text is another important component of active engagement with a text (Fuhler, 2003; Keene & Zimmerman, 1997; Zimmerman & Hutchins, 2003). These connections can be to a personal experience or another text.

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage includes instruction in how to be actively engaged with text. *Reading Advantage* encourages students to make connections with the text through discussions and written activities. These discussions contribute to students' understanding of the text by giving them a forum to respond to the text (Probst, 1998).

Critical Reading

What Research Says:

Critical reading occurs when students evaluate and analyze the information in a text determining why and how authors write and use ideas (Alvermann & Hagood, 2000; Carr, 1989; Ennis, 1987; Tierney, Sofer, O'Flavahan, & McGinley, 1989; Zintz & Maggart, 1984). Critical reading is the ability of a reader to analyze an author's purpose, point of view, and use of language. The ability to analyze these components can be taught (Barron & Sternberg, 1987; Commeyras, 1993; Nickerson, 1988) and enhance students' understanding of text.

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

In *Reading Advantage* students are asked to think critically about the texts they read in the program and analyze the choices authors make.

Word Study and Phonics

What Research Says:

Word study, based on phonics and word structure, allows students to examine the sounds, patterns and meanings that are represented in print and make generalizations from them rather than relying on memorization alone. Through the use of compare-contrast activities (sorting words), students are able to understand the similarities and differences between features in words. (Features include spelling patterns, prefixes, and suffixes.) The main vehicle for students to examine words is through word sorting, a process that involves physically moving word cards into categories while explaining their choices. Word study allows for repeated examination of words so that patterns and other phonetic features become automatic. This type of classification encourages students to think critically about words. This will eventually help students as they attempt to decode unfamiliar words encountered in print and increase their speed as they read.

Research shows that students follow a developmental continuum when learning to spell (Henderson, 1990; Bear, Invernizzi, Templeton, & Johnston, 2003). Even learning-disabled children negotiate words in approximately the same sequence (Worthy & Invernizzi, 1989). The continuum ranges all the way from building a foundation of literacy (pretend reading, making the speech to print match, and experimenting with writing) to the highest level of literacy (using roots and affixes to unlock the meaning of unfamiliar words). Each stage is defined by particular characteristics and can be linked to an approximate reading level.

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Most of the students in *Reading Advantage* will fall in the Within Word Pattern and Syllable Junctures stages (see chart).

Characteristics of Developing Spellers	
Stage/Characteristics of Students	Reading Level
<p>Letter Name Working toward a firm concept of word (Morris, 1981).</p> <p>Begins by spelling with the letter names (KK=cake).</p>	1
<p>Within Word Patterns Begin to look at patterns inside the word.</p> <p>Learn common representations of vowel sounds (e.g., train, play, made).</p> <p>Learn r-controlled vowels, ambiguous vowels (e.g., boil, crawl, tough), complex consonants (e.g., tch, scr, str), and homophones.</p>	2-4
<p>Syllable Juncture Apply knowledge of one-syllable words to multi-syllabic words, including compound words.</p> <p>Learn syllable stress and accent, syllable patterns, suffixes, affixes, and consonant doubling.</p>	4-6
<p>Derivational Constancy Begin to understand how word meaning affects spelling in silent and sounded consonants (sign/signal), consonant alternations (adop/adoption), and vowel alternations (volcano/volcanic).</p> <p>Learn the spelling issues around Latin-derived suffixes (ible, able) and assimilated prefixes (illegal, irreversible).</p>	6+

A word study activity is provided for each of the lessons in the *Reading Advantage Teacher's Edition*. The activities proceed in a systematic fashion and assume that most students are in the Within Word Pattern or Syllable Juncture stages. Links are made from the activity to words in the magazine selection. The Word Study book is a teacher resource that will help teachers improve students' understanding of phonics, word patterns, and spelling.

Vocabulary

What Research Says:

Students need to be taught strategies to help them become more skilled word learners, including using word parts to structurally analyze words, using phonics, and using context clues (Allen, 2003; Hill 1998; Johnson, 2001). Students also benefit from direct instruction in new vocabulary (Graves & Watts-Taffe, 2002; Johnson, 2001; Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998). This occurs when a child is taught specific words to read a story or during a unit of study.

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage teaches students all of these strategies to handle unknown words. During their work with vocabulary, students are asked to use context clues, break words into their meaningful parts to derive meaning, link words by meaning and concepts, and classify words to examine their attributes. These activities support the student in learning the meanings and spellings of new words by requiring them to be actively involved with the words (Stahl, 1986).

Reading Advantage provides the teacher with a list of important vocabulary words for each story. The lessons on the *eZine* CD-ROMs also allow students to click on words that they do not know to immediately get the definition and build their vocabulary.

Fluency

What Research Says:

Fluent reading is dependent on learning and being able to use a wide range of skills, including the ability to decode and recognize words automatically and accurately; to read quickly, smoothly, and with the right intonation and expression; and, most important, to comprehend what is read. Fluent reading goes beyond simply decoding words correctly. Fluency involves the reader's ability to read quickly, smoothly, and with the right intonation and expression. Reading researchers have found that repeated readings is an effective way of improving word recognition, speed, and phrasal reading, and that the benefits can transfer to new texts (Chomsky, 1978; National Reading Panel, 2000; Rasinski, 2003; Samuels, 1994).

Repeated reading can be accomplished in a number of ways, but all variations should include explanation of why fluent reading is important, expert models of reading so that students hear what fluent reading sounds like (this can be the teacher, a more skilled peer, or a tape recording), guidance and feedback during instruction and practice, independent practice, a focus on meaning or comprehension, and attention to students' interests.

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Fluency activities in *Reading Advantage* include partner reading, practice in reading orally with expression, and silent reading. In addition, each magazine includes one or more readers theater or radio reading scripts, which give students a meaningful and motivating context in which to practice fluent reading for an audience. Students are also able to hear the texts they read on the *eZine* CD-ROM, which provides them with an additional model of fluent reading and helps scaffold their understanding of the text as they simultaneously activate their listening and their reading comprehension.

Writing

What Research Says:

Reading and writing are closely connected components of language. It is important for students to see the connections between reading and writing (Fountas & Pinnell, 2001). Writing is also an important tool used to improve reading that should not be underestimated because it provides insight into students'

understanding of a text (Rief, 2003). Writing about text gives the students the opportunity to create more personal and complex responses to literature than a class discussion does (Probst, 1998).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage provides many opportunities for students to respond to both fictional and informational text. The Student Journal gives the students support in creating the thoughtful and meaningful written responses that improve student understanding. Each lesson contains additional writing activities that promote literacy skills such as point of view, sequencing, making inferences, and responding to literature.

Technology

What Research Says:

The Universal Design for Learning Framework (Gordon, 2002) meets individual student's learning needs. Unlike fixed print medium, digital media are flexible and customizable for different learning styles. Controls over text size and the available audio allow students to access information that might otherwise be out of reach. Enhanced electronic text support benefits a wide variety of at-risk readers (Boone & Higgins, 2003; Mac Arthur & Haynes, 1995; Matthews, 2000). Students need to be self motivated and engaged in a text to increase the benefits of enhanced electronic text support (Mac Arthur, Ferretti, Okolo, & Cavalier, 2001; Reinking, 1988; Reinking & Schreiner, 1985).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

The support offered by the *Reading Advantage eZines* is grounded in a powerful approach to teaching and learning that meets students' individual learning needs. This key element of flexibility is an integral part of the *eZines*. It consists of multiple interactive articles based on concepts that extend from the print magazines to help create additional background knowledge of the subjects covered. Each CD-ROM enhances and develops students' understanding of the subject matter, provides additional vocabulary practice, and includes prompts to practice key reading strategies. Additional support built into the software provides instruction and assesses knowledge through the use of graphic organizers, comprehension strategy related embedded assessments, and decoding practice. *Reading Advantage* encourages students to engage actively with the text through guided lessons and independent practice. This means students have the skills necessary to work with the *eZines* independently with maximum benefits to their reading abilities.

Assessment

What Research Says:

It is important that struggling readers are taught at their instructional level (Manning & Manning, 1979). Responsive assessment that enables teachers to adjust instruction based on assessment results best supports student learning (Brenner & Pearson, 1998). Classroom observations and checklists can also help teachers monitor individual student needs and growth (McKenna & Stahl, 2003).

Some students, especially those who are socioeconomically disadvantaged, lack the cultural capital and experiences that are helpful on standardized reading tests. This puts them at a disadvantage on many reading assessments (Johnston, 1987; Rubin & Patterson, 2002).

How *Reading Advantage* Puts Research into Practice:

Reading Advantage includes two types of assessment, placement assessment to help determine students' appropriate level of instruction, and progress assessment to track students' growth in each level. The assessment supports responsive teaching by giving teachers multiple assessments and observation checklists to use at different points to evaluate students' progress and adjust lessons. This responsive approach to assessment and instruction The teacher is able to use the knowledge learned from the assessment to determine future reading lessons and materials.

Teachers can use a Group Reading Inventory (GRI) and/or an Individual Reading Inventory (IRI) to help place students in the most appropriate level for instruction. Both inventories offer reading passages and

comprehension questions geared to each of the four *Reading Advantage* levels (A, B, C, D). Passages are based on the theme and reading difficulty of the magazine article for each level. To assess students' progress in each level, teachers can use a Mid-Magazine Assessment and a Magazine Test for each magazine (four of each level). Both assessments include reading passages that match the corresponding magazine theme and multiple-choice questions that measure knowledge of comprehension strategies and vocabulary.

The passages and questions on the *Reading Advantage* Mid-Magazine Assessments and Magazine Tests share the same theme as the reading passages used during instruction. Using the same theme for instruction and assessment gives the students much of the background knowledge and vocabulary that will support them during the assessments and minimize the effects of a limited cultural capital and ensures that teachers receive accurate information about students' reading ability.

See page 20 for references.

READING ADVANTAGE PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS

LARGE NEW ENGLAND CITY EFFECTIVENESS STUDY

Note: The information contained in this report is a summary of the complete *Great Source Effectiveness Study Reading Advantage* report by RMC Research Corporation.

Research Design

Great Source contracted with RMC Research Corporation of Portsmouth, NH to design and implement a study of the effectiveness of the *Reading Advantage* program with struggling adolescent readers during the 2004-2005 school year.

The study used a quasi-experimental research design in which treatment schools and comparison schools were matched by important characteristics, such as percentage of student on free/reduced price lunch, number of English language learners, and student performance on the state assessment.

Fourteen (14) middle schools in a large New England city participated in the study, with seven schools in the *Reading Advantage* group and seven schools in the comparison group. All schools were Title I schools with a high percentage of students from disadvantaged backgrounds. The students came from diverse backgrounds, including students who were English language learners.

All students were scheduled to attend an extra reading period as an intervention because these students were reading below grade level as determined by a state assessment given in spring of 2004, Degrees of Reading Power (DRP) and assessed twice during the year with the Developmental Reading Assessment to track growth.

Reading Advantage teachers received inservice on how to implement materials to ensure consistency across treatment sites.

Student Demographics

Approximately 550 sixth graders participated in the study.

Student Characteristics

Variables	Comparison Students	<i>Reading Advantage</i> Students
ELL	5.3%	6.8%
Ethnicity		
African American	55.8%	65.2%
Hispanic	28.3%	26.7%
Other	15.9%	8.1%
Gender		
Female	54.9%	44.1%
Male	45.1%	55.9%
Special Education	1.8%	5.0%

Teacher Characteristics

Variables	Comparison Teachers	<i>Reading Advantage</i> Teachers
	Mean	Mean
Number of years teaching.	8.75	10.40
Number of years teaching reading.	6.33	4.60
Highest degree		

BA/BS	8.3%	33.3%
MA/MS	91.7%	66.7%


Assessment

The Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA) was used as the pretest and posttest to measure growth in reading competency. The DRA measures three components of reading: engagement, oral reading fluency, and comprehension skills/strategies.

The assessment is conducted during a one-on-one reading conference with each student reading specially selected texts. The texts are typical of the materials students read both in and out of school. Fifty percent (50%) of the students from each class (both *Reading Advantage* and comparison) were randomly selected to be tested. The testers scored the individual assessments as they conducted them. Pretesting began late November and was completed in mid-December. Posttesting was conducted in May 2005 and was completed in June 2005.

Results

For the DRA engagement scores, there was a significant treatment effect. There was also a significant treatment effect on DRA comprehension scores. The significant main effects indicate that after controlling for pretest differences, students using *Reading Advantage* significantly outperformed students in the comparison group in engagement and comprehension at the end of the school year. The main treatment effect for fluency was not statistically significant. See below.



*This is not a statistically significant difference.

In addition to student scores for engagement, fluency, and comprehension, grade level and DRA stage scores were also recorded for student performance at pretest and posttest. The grade level scores measure whether students are performing below, slightly below, at, or above grade level (4 levels) and the DRA stage scores have 7 levels:


DRA Stage Scores

Stage	Level
1	Transitional
2	Extending
3	Intermediate
4	Advancing Intermediate
5	Middle School
6	Extending Middle School
7	Independent Middle School


These scores were recalculated to reflect whether students regressed (went down), stayed the same, or progressed at posttest compared to their performed on the pretest.

The charts below shows the percentage of students in each category by treatment condition.

For grade level, there was a significant treatment effect. The results indicate that the *Reading Advantage* students had a lower percentage of students who regressed and a higher percentage of students who progressed.



For DRA Stage scores there was also a significant main effect. See chart below.



Survey responses from study teachers also support the effectiveness of *Reading Advantage*:

“Students are interested in the magazine stories and often request the novels for independent reading. Vocabulary is integrated from the literature and used during the discussions and student writing...Students are focused and attentive during small-group instruction. The reading materials are at their instructional level. The discussions are animated and are a good forum for relating text to real life. We observed high interest in the novels.”

Conclusions

The results from the student level data indicate that students in the *Reading Advantage* condition significantly outperformed students in the comparison group on DRA engagement and comprehension components.

In addition, the results also indicate that a significantly greater proportion of students in the *Reading Advantage* group progressed at the posttest than the comparison group, as shown by the grade level and the DRA stage scores.

CINCINNATI CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT EFFECTIVENESS STUDY


Cincinnati, OH

District Demographics

Enrollment: 38,557

Poverty: 30%

Ethnicity: 73% African American; 1% Asian; 1% Hispanic; 25% Caucasian (Market Data Retrieval, 2004)



Method


Seven treatment schools and four control schools were selected for the *Reading Advantage* study in Cincinnati, OH. Treatment classrooms received *Reading Advantage* materials and inservice on how to implement materials to ensure consistency across treatment sites.

Assessments

Fall & spring: *Reading Advantage* magazine tests.

Results

Each *Reading Advantage* magazine includes a mid-magazine and post-magazine assessment. Results from the *Reading Advantage* magazine tests show significant progress from mid to posttest and from the Flight to the Underground lesson tests (see page 16).



Teacher survey response also support the effectiveness of *Reading Advantage*:

- 87% of respondents rated *Reading Advantage* a 4 or 5 out of 5 on the question of how effective *Reading Advantage* is at increasing students’ proficiency in reading.
- 87% of respondents rated *Reading Advantage* a 4 or 5 out of 5 on the question of how effective was *Reading Advantage* at increasing students’ interest in reading.

“My students vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension skills improved a great deal. Students who were afraid to read out loud, no longer had that fear. Reading became fun.”

*Sandra Bogle, 8th Grade Reading/Language Arts Teacher
Burton Elementary School
Cincinnati, OH*

“Students were engaged—wanted to read aloud. Gained confidence in decoding unfamiliar words.”

*Monika Smith, 8th Grade Special Education Intervention Specialist
Quebec Heights School
Cincinnati, OH*

“I was very surprised at how interested the students were when it came to the reading program...It really increased the students fluency in reading and helped build their vocabulary...The materials we read were overall interesting and related to everyday life. The students were very involved.”

*Lynetta Martin, 7th & 8th Grade Special Education Teacher
Mt. Airy School
Cincinnati, OH*

“I saw positive student growth in vocabulary recognition, fluency, and comprehension. The reading selections provided many learning connections for students...The *Reading Advantage* selections were high interest for all students, which led the students to ask for more reading time. The students also showed an increased desire to read all independent selections because of increased confidence.”

*Kathleen Radey, 7th Grade Teacher
Whittier Elementary
Cincinnati, OH*


JEFFERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL PILOT STUDY
Aurora, IL

School Demographics

Enrollment: 782


Students Receiving Free/Reduced-Price Lunch: 35%

Ethnicity: 18% African American; <1% American Indian; 1% Asian; 41% Hispanic; 40% Caucasian
(Greatschools.net, 2005)



Results

Jefferson Middle School piloted *Reading Advantage* during the 2004-2005 school year with a class of 8th grade struggling readers. Students' reading level increased 1.5 years during an 8-month time period based on the STAR Reading Assessment (see below).



Feedback from the reading facilitator also supports the effectiveness of *Reading Advantage*:

“*Reading Advantage* allowed my struggling readers to learn from material that was at their level, but that was also interesting. It was a comprehensive reading program that offered lessons on reading comprehension and vocabulary development that were beneficial in enhancing students’ reading levels.

Reading Advantage increased my students’ interest in reading by building their confidence and their ability to read. Therefore, they became confident in going to the library to checkout novels to read!

Reading Advantage offered many opportunities for my students to build their vocabulary with the vocabulary activities and booklets.

I would recommend *Reading Advantage* to any school district that wants to focus on content-reading strategies! They will see results.”

Cheryl Rosentreter
Reading Facilitator
Jefferson Middle School
Aurora, IL

REFERENCES

- Allen, J.A. (2003). I can comprehend...I just can't read big words. *Voices from the Middle*, 11(1), 60-61.
- Allington, R.L. (2001). *What really matters for struggling readers: Designing research-based programs*. New York: Longman.
- Alvermann, D.A., & Hagood, M.C. (2000). Critical media literacy: Research, theory, and practice in "new times." *Journal of Educational Research*, 93, 193-206.
- Anderson, R.C., & Pearson, P.D. (1984). A schema-theoretic view of basic processes in reading comprehension. In P.D. Pearson (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 225-292). New York: Longman.
- Armbruster, B.B., Anderson, T.H., & Ostertag, J. (1987). Does text structure/summarization instruction facilitate learning from expository text? *Reading Research Quarterly*, 22, 331-346.
- Baker, L., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P.D. Pearson (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 353-394). New York: Longman.
- Barron, J.B., & Sternberg, R.J. (Eds.). (1987). *Teaching thinking skills: Theory and practice*. New York: Freeman.
- Bear, D.R., Invernizzi, M., Templeton, S., & Johnston, F. (2003). *Words their way: Word study for phonics, vocabulary, and spelling instruction*. Columbus, OH: Pearson.
- Betts, E.A. (1946). *Foundations of reading instruction*. New York: American Book Co.
- Bennett, M.B. (2003). From practice to preaching: Helping content area teachers teach comprehension. *Voices from the Middle*, 11(1), 31-34.
- Brown, A.L., & Day, J.D. (1984). Macro rules for summarizing texts: The development of expertise. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 22, 1-14.
- Brenner, D., & Pearson P.D. (1998). Authentic reading assessment in the middle school. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle school readers* (pp. 281-310). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Broadus, K., & Ivey, G. (2002). Taking away the struggle to read in the middle grades. *Middle School Journal*, 34(2), 5-11.
- Carr, K.S. (1988). How can we teach critical thinking? *Childhood Education*, 65, 69-73.
- Carter, B., & Abrahamson, R.F. (1998). Castles to Colin Powell: The truth about nonfiction. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle school readers* (pp. 313-332). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Chomsky, C. (1978). When you still can't read in third grade: After decoding, what? In S. J. Samuels (Ed.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (pp.13-30). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Commeyras, M. (1993). Promoting critical thinking through dialogical-thinking reading lessons. *The Reading Teacher*, 46, 486-494.
- Davey, B. (1983). Think aloud: Modeling the cognitive processes of reading comprehension. *Journal of Reading*, 27(1), 44-47.
- Duke, N.K., & Pearson, P.D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A.E. Farstrup & S.J. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (3rd ed., pp. 205-242). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Ennis, R.H. (1987). A taxonomy of critical thinking dispositions and abilities. In J.B. Baron & R.J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Teaching for thinking* (pp. 9-26). New York: Freeman.
- Feathers, K.M. (1998). Fostering independent, critical content reading in the middle grades. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle school readers* (pp.261-280). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Fitzgerald, J., & Spiegel, D.L. (1983). Enhancing children's reading comprehension through instruction in narrative structure. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 15, 1-17.
- Fountas, I.C., & Pinnell, G.S. (2001). *Guiding readers and writers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Fuhler, C.J. (2003). Joining theory and best practice to drive classroom instruction. *Middle School Journal*, 34(5), 23-30.

- Graves, M.F., & Watts-Taffe, S.M. (2002). The place of word consciousness in a research-based vocabulary program. In *What research has to say about reading instruction* (3rd ed., pp. 140-165). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Greatschools.net. (2005). Jefferson middle school student information. Retrieved May 31, 2005 at www.greatschools.net
- Griffin, C.C., & Tulbert, B.L. (1995). The effects of graphic organizers on students' comprehension and recall of expository text: A review of the research and implications for practice. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 11, 73-89.
- Gordon, C.J. (1989). Teaching narrative text structure: A process approach to reading and writing. In K.D. Muth (Ed.), *Children's comprehension of text: Research into practice* (pp. 79-102). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Gordon, D.T. (January/February, 2002). Curriculum access in the digital age. *Harvard Education Letter Research Online*. Retrieved February 12, 2002 from <http://www.edletter.org/current/>
- Hahn, A.L., & Garner, R. (1984). Synthesis of research on students' ability to summarize text. *Educational Leadership*, 42(5), 52-55.
- Henderson, E.H. (1990). *Teaching spelling*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Hansen, J., & Pearson, P.D. (1983). An instructional study: Improving the inferential comprehension of good and poor fourth-grade readers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 821-829.
- Hare, V., & Borhardt, K.M. (1984). Direct instruction of summarization skills. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 20, 62-78.
- Hill, M. (1998). Reaching struggling readers. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle school readers* (pp. 81-104). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordan Publishers, Inc.
- Ivey, G., & Broaddus, K. (2001). "Just plain reading": A survey of what makes students want to read in middle school classrooms. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 36, 350-377.
- Johnson, D.D. (2001). *Vocabulary in the elementary and middle school*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Johnston, P.H. (1987). Teachers as evaluation experts. *The Reading Teacher*, 40(8), 744-748.
- Johnston, P.H. (1997). *Knowing literacy: Constructive literacy assessment*. York, ME: Stenhouse Publishers.
- Keene, E.O., & Zimmerman, S. (1997). *Mosaic of thought: Teaching comprehension in a reader's workshop*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Langer, J.A. (1995). *Envisioning literature: Literacy understanding and literature instruction*. New York: Teacher's College Press.
- Mac Arthur, C.A., Ferretti, R.P., Okolo, C.M., & Cavalier, A.R. (2001). Technology applications for students with literacy problems: A critical review. *The Elementary School Journal* (101)3, 273-301.
- Mac Arthur, C.A., & Haynes, J.B. (1995). Student assistant for learning from text (SALT): A hypermedia reading aid. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 28(3), 150-159.
- Manning, M.M., & Manning, G.L. (1979). *Reading instruction in the middle school*. Washington, D.C.: National Education Association.
- Market Data Retrieval. (2004). MDR's 2004-2005 Ohio school directory (27th ed.). Shelton, CT: Market Data Retrieval.
- Matthews, M.S. (2000, May). Electronic literacy and the limited English proficient student. *Reading Online*. Available: http://www.readingonline.org/electronic/elec_index.asp?HREF=/electronic/matthews/index.html
- McGee, L.M., & Richgels, D.J. (1988). Teaching expository text structure to elementary students. *The Reading Teacher*, 38, 739-747.
- McKenna, M.C., & Stahl, S.A. (2003). *Assessment for reading instruction*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Moore, D.W., Alvermann, D.E., & Hinchman, K. A. (Eds.). (2000). *Struggling adolescent readers: A collection of teaching strategies*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

- Moore, D.W., Bean, T.W., Birdyshaw, D., & Rycik, J.A. (1999). *Adolescent literacy: A position statement*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2003). The nation's report card. Retrieved April 21, 2004, from <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/reading/results2003>
- National Reading Panel. (2000). *Report of the national reading panel: Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research on reading and its implications for reading instruction: Report of the subgroups*. Washington, DC: National Institutes of Child Health & Human Development, National Institutes of Health.
- Nickerson, R.S. (1988). Improving thinking through instruction. In E.Z. Rothkopf (Ed.), *Review of research in education* (pp. 3-57). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- O'Brien, D., & Dillon, D. (2002). *Module: Motivation and engagement in reading*. Retrieved February 3, 2004 <http://education.umn.edu/CI/MREA/Motivation/motivMOD.html>
- Paris, S.G., Wasik, B.A., & Turner, J.C. (1991). The development of strategic readers. In R. Barr, M.L. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research*, Volume II (pp. 609-640). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Pearson, P.D., Roehler, L.R., Dole, J.A., & Duffy, G.G. (1992). Developing expertise in reading comprehension. In S. J. Samuels & A. E. Farstrup (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Pressley, M. (2000). Comprehension instruction in elementary school: A quarter-century of research progress. In B.M. Taylor, F.F. Graves, & P. van den Broek (Eds.), *Reading for meaning: Fostering comprehension in the middle grades*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Pressley, M., Johnson, C.J., Symons, S., McGoldrick, J.A., & Kurita, J.A. (1989). Strategies that improve children's memory and comprehension of text. *The Elementary School Journal*, 90, 3-30.
- Probst, R. (1998). Reader-response theory in the middle school. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle school readers* (pp. 125-138). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Rasinski, T.V. (2003). *The fluent reader: Oral reading strategies for building word recognition, fluency, and comprehension*. New York, NY: Scholastic.
- Rief, L. (2003). Writing toward understanding. *Voices from the Middle*, 11(1), 54-55.
- Reinking, D. (1988). Computer-mediated text and comprehension differences: The role of reading time, reader preference, and estimation of learning. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 23(4), 484-498.
- Reinking, D., & Schreiner, R. (1985). The effects of computer-mediated text on measures of reading comprehension and reading behavior. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 20(5), 536-551.
- Rosenshine, B., Meister, C., & Chapman, S. (1996). Teaching students to generate questions: A review of the intervention studies. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(2), 181-221.
- Rubin, R., & Patterson, L. (2002). Revaluing: Coming to know who we are and what we can do. *Voices from the Middle*, 10(1), 21-26.
- Samuels, S.J. (1994). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading, revisited. In R. Ruddell, M. Ruddell, & H. Singer (Eds.), *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (4th ed., pp. 816-837).
- Snow, C.E., Burns, M.S., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Stahl, S. (1986). Three principles of effective vocabulary instruction. *Journal of Reading*, 29(7), 662-668.
- Tierney, R.J., Sofer, A., O'Flahavan, J.F. & McGinley, W. (1989). The effects of reading and writing upon thinking critically. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 24, 134-173.
- Turner, J., & Paris, S.G. (1995). How literacy tasks influence children's motivation for literacy. *The Reading Teacher*, 48(8), 662-673.
- U.S. Department of Education Office of the Secretary. (2001). *Back to school, moving forward: What No Child Left Behind means for America's communities*. Washington, D.C.: author.

Vacca, R.T., & Vacca, J.L. (2002). *Content area reading: Literacy and learning across the curriculum* (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Van Gorder, B.L. (2003). When less is more: Questioning the text as a strategy for reading success. *Voices from the Middle*, 11(1), 35-37.

Wallis, J. (1998). Strategies: What connects readers to meaning. In K. Beers & B.G. Samuels (Eds.), *Into focus: Understanding and creating middle-school readers* (pp.225-243). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordan Publishers, Inc.

Worthy, J. (1998). "On every page someone gets killed!" Book conversations you don't hear in school. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 41, 508-517.

Worthy, J. (2000). Teachers' and students' suggestions for motivating middle-school students to read. In T. Shanahan & F. V. Brown (Eds.), *49th Yearbook of the National Reading Conference* (pp. 441-451). Chicago: National Reading Conference.

Worthy, M.J., & Invernizzi, M. (1989). Spelling errors of normal and disabled students on achievement levels one through four: Instructional implications. *Bulletin of the Orton Society*, 40, 138-149.

Worthy, J., Moorman, M., & Turner, M. (1999). What Johnny likes to read is hard to find in school. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 34, 12-27.

Zimmerman, S., & Hutchins, C. (2003). *7 Keys to Comprehension*. New York, NY: Three Rivers Press.

Zintz, M.V., & Maggart, Z.R. (1984). *The reading process, the teacher, and the learner*. Dubuque, IA: William C. Brown.